

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,695	08/23/2001	Stefan Boneberg	1748X/50331	8405
	9/934,695 08/23/2001 Stefan Boneberg 7590 06/14/2007 CROWELL & MORING, L. L. P.		EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 14300			DUONG, THANH P	
washington, Do	20044-4300		EXAMINER DUONG, THANH P ART UNIT PAPER 1764 MAIL DATE DELIVE	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
				,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Madina of Atlanta and	09/934,695	BONEBERG ET	· A1
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tom P. Duong	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:		,	
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _		•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which pla	ices the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	empt at a proper repl	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) 	l publication fee, if applicable, within 5).	the statutory period	of three months
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). 	received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (are	ate of Mailing or Trand publication fee) s	ansmission dated et in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire in	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity un	der 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	ence rendered on and becaus as.	e the period for seel	king court review
7. The reason(s) below:	Glerin Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner	_	
	Glerin Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1 137(a) or (b), or requests to withdray			